



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/566,999 | 02/01/2006 | Masaharu Udagawa | 39576 | 9629 |
| 52054 PEARNE & G(| 7590 11/02/200 ORDON LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 1801 EAST 9T SUITE 1200 | H STREET | HU, RUI MENG | | |
| | CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108 | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2618 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/02/2007 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patdocket@pearne.com dchervenak@pearne.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | 10/566,999 | UDAGAWA ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | RuiMeng Hu | 2618 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be a vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Au 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13,14 and 16-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 August 2007 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 4) | ate | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L_ Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/566,999 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 13-14, 16-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Persson (US Patent 7072420) in view of McCune et al. (US Patent 6366177).

Consider **claim 13**, Persson clearly discloses a transmitting apparatus using polar modulation (column 2 lines 1-3), the apparatus comprising: a polar signal producer (figure 1, RF circuit 1, column 3 lines 15-21), producing signals corresponding to an amplitude and a phase of a transmitting modulated signal from an input signal (figure 1, + 4 and + 4).

Persson discloses (column 3 lines 15-21) the operation of the RF circuitry is well known in the art, in the same field of endeavor, McCune et al. clearly disclose the details of polar modulation. An amplitude signal producer (McCune et al. figure 11, magnitude controller 1127 controlling power amplifier for amplitude modulation), producing an amplitude signal from a signal corresponding to the amplitude; a phase-modulated signal producer (McCune et al. figure 11, phase modulation signal generator 1129) having an input and producing a phase-modulated signal from a signal corresponding to the phase and provided at the input; an amplitude-modulation amplifier

Application/Control Number: 10/566,999

Art Unit: 2618

(McCune et al. figure 11, power amplifier 1107) <u>having another input and</u> amplitude-modulating the phase-modulated signal (polar modulation) by the amplitude signal, <u>which is provided at said another input</u>, and the phase-modulated signal to produce a transmitting modulated signal (McCune et al. figure 11, polar modulated signal output from power amplifier 1107).

Persson further discloses an amplitude/phase detector (figure 1, column 3 lines 48-53, 59-64, detector unit 3), detecting the signal corresponding to the phase that is provided at said input and the amplitude signal that is provided at said another input (in view of Persson, the amended limitation can be interpreted as detecting the signal corresponding to both the phase and the amplitude signals; see figure 1. the detector unit 3 detecting the signal (PA output RF signal) that is corresponding to the phase that is provided at said input and the amplitude signal that is provided at said another input); a delay difference computer (figure 1, delay calculator 12), computing a delay difference between an amplitude signal and a phase signal based on the signal corresponding to the amplitude and the signal corresponding to the phase (figure 1, r 1 and ϕ 1), which are produced by the polar signal producer (generator 7), and the signals (r_4 and \phi_4) detected by the amplitude/phase detector (detector unit 3); and a timing adjustor (figure 1, adjusters 14 and 16), adjusting timings of the amplitude signal and the phase signal based on the delay difference computed by the delay difference computer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by McCune et al. into the art of Persson as to process polar modulation suitably.

Consider claim 14 as applied to claim 13, Persson as modified by McCune et al. clearly discloses wherein the delay difference computer (figure 1, delay calculator 12, adders 10) computes a correlation function (adder 10_2) between the signal corresponding to the amplitude (r_4, output of circuit 3) produced by the polar signal producer (figure 1, polar signal producer circuit 1) and the amplitude signal detected by the amplitude/phase detector (figure 1, Delay controllers 9) and a correlation function (adder 10_1) between the signal corresponding to the phase produced by the polar signal producer and the phase signal detected by the amplitude/phase detector, and computes the quantity of delay of the amplitude signal (output of adder 10_2) and the quantity of delay of the phase signal (output of adder 10_1) from maximum values of the respective correlation functions related to these amplitude and phase and computes a delay difference (figure 1, delay calculations of circuit 12) from a difference between the quantity of delay of the amplitude signal and the quantity of delay of the phase signal.

Consider claim 16 as applied to in claim 13, Persson as modified by McCune et al. clearly discloses wherein the timing adjustor (timing adjusters 14 and 16 are delay units that delaying the input signals based on the control signals outputted from delay controller circuit 12) has a delay unit delaying at least one of the amplitude signal and the phase signal and a delay controller controlling the quantity of delay of the delay unit.

Consider claim 17 as applied to in claim 13, Persson as modified by McCune et al. clearly discloses wherein the timing adjustor has a rough adjustor (delay controllers 9) roughly adjusting the quantity of delay of the amplitude signal and the quantity of delay of the phase signal and a fine adjustor (adjusters 14 and 16) finely adjusting the quantity of delay.

Consider claim 18 as applied to claim 13, Persson as modified by McCune et al. clearly discloses wherein the timing adjustor is constructed of a digital circuit (figure 5, adjusters 22 and 24 capable of processing I and Q digital signals) and varies a clock frequency of this digital circuit to adjust the quantity of delay of the amplitude signal and the quantity of delay of the phase signal (adjusters 22 and 24 delaying the signals based on control signals outputted from circuit 12, and the teaching of varying a clock frequency to adjust the quantity of delay is well known in the art, a person skilled in the art would recognize these teachings could be utilized in the adjusters 22 and 24 of Persson as modified by McCune et al.).

Consider claim 19 as applied to claim 16, Persson as modified by McCune et al. clearly discloses wherein the timing adjustor has a plurality of inverters connected in cascade as the delay unit and a selector for switching outputs of the inverters (these teachings are well known in the art, a person skilled in the art would recognize these teachings could be utilized in the adjusters 22 and 24 of Persson as modified by McCune et al.).

Consider claim 20 as applied to claim 16. Persson as modified by McCune et al. clearly discloses wherein the timing adjustor has a digital filter capable of varying a

Application/Control Number: 10/566,999

Art Unit: 2618

The control (4 diliber. 10/300,30

delay time according to a control signal as the delay unit (these teachings are well known in the art, a person skilled in the art would recognize these teachings could be utilized in the adjusters 22 and 24 of Persson as modified by McCune et al.).

Consider **claim 21** as applied to claim 13, Persson as modified by McCune et al. clearly discloses wherein the amplitude modulation amplifier is configured to have a power amplifier (McCune et al. figure 11, power amplifier 1107).

Consider **claim 22** as applied to **claim 13**, Persson as modified by McCune et al. clearly discloses wherein the amplitude modulation amplifier is configured to have a variable gain amplifier (McCune et al. figure 11, variable power amplifier 1107).

Consider **claim 24**, Persson clearly discloses a method of synchronizing an amplitude signal and a phase signal in a transmitting apparatus using polar modulation, (figure 1) the method comprising the steps of: producing signals (figure 1, r_4 and ϕ_4) corresponding to an amplitude and a phase of a transmitting modulated signal from an input signal, producing an amplitude signal (figure 1, r_2) from a signal corresponding to the amplitude; producing a phase-modulated signal (McCune et al. disclose the detail of polar modulation, figure 11, phase modulation (PM) signal generator 1129 for producing PM signal) from a signal corresponding to the phase and provided at an input; multiplying (figure 1, circuit 1 modulating polar signal by modulating r_2 on top of ϕ_2 , thus considered as multiplying) the amplitude signal, which is provided at another input, by the phase-modulated signal to amplitude modulate the phase-modulated signal to produce a transmitting modulated signal; detecting (figure 1, column 3 lines 48-53, 59-64, detector unit 3) the signal corresponding to the phase that is provided at said input

signal and the phase signal.

and the amplitude signal that is provided at said another input (in view of Persson, the amended limitation can be interpreted as detecting the signal corresponding to both the phase and the amplitude signals; see figure 1, the detector unit 3 detecting the signal (PA output RF signal) that is corresponding to the phase that is provided at said input and the amplitude signal that is provided at said another *input*); computing a delay difference (figure 1, Delay Calculate 12) between an amplitude signal and a phase signal based on the signal corresponding to the amplitude and the signal corresponding to the phase $(r_1 \text{ and } \phi_1)$, which are produced from the

input signal, and the amplitude signal and the phase signal, which are detected (r_4 and

the phase signal based on the computed delay difference to synchronize the amplitude

Page 8

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by McCune et al. into the art of Persson as to process polar modulation suitably.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Persson (US Patent 7072420) as modified by McCune et al. (US Patent 6366177) in view of Bellaouar et al. (US Pub. 2003/0118143).

Consider claim 23 as applied to claim 13, Persson as modified by McCune et al. fails to disclose wherein the amplitude modulation amplifier is configured to have a mixer circuit.

In the same field of endeavor, Bellaouar et al. clearly disclose using a mixer circuit for amplitude modulation (figure 4, mixer 43).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by Bellaouar et al. into the art of Persson as modified by McCune et al. as to include a mixer circuit for amplitude modulation as an alternative.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 15 is allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Consider claim 15 the best prior art of record found during the examination of the present application, Persson (US Patent 7072420) in view of McCune et al. (US Patent 6366177) fails to specifically disclose, teach, or suggest the amplitude/phase detector has a selector selecting either the amplitude signal or the phase signal and an analog-digital converter converting the selected amplitude signal or phase signal provided at an input section of the amplitude signal and the phase signal.

Persson discloses a transmitting apparatus using polar modulation (column 2 lines 1-3), the apparatus comprising: a polar signal producer (figure 1, RF circuit 1, column 3 lines 15-21), producing signals corresponding to an amplitude and a phase of a transmitting modulated signal from an input signal (figure 1, r_4 and ϕ 4).

Persson discloses (column 3 lines 15-21) the operation of the RF circuitry is well known in the art, in the same field of endeavor, McCune et al. clearly disclose the

details of polar modulation. An amplitude signal producer (McCune et al. figure 11, magnitude controller 1127 controlling power amplifier for amplitude modulation), producing an amplitude signal from a signal corresponding to the amplitude; a phasemodulated signal producer (McCune et al. figure 11, phase modulation signal generator 1129), producing a phase-modulated signal from a signal corresponding to the phase: an amplitude-modulation amplifier (McCune et al. figure 11, power amplifier 1107). amplitude-modulating the phase-modulated signal (polar modulation) by the amplitude signal and the phase-modulated signal to produce a transmitting modulated signal (McCune et al. figure 11, polar modulated signal output from power amplifier 1107).

Persson further discloses an amplitude/phase detector (figure 1, column 3 lines 48-53, 59-64, delay controllers 9 operate to minimize the magnitude of the difference between r_4 and r_3, and the difference between ϕ _4 and ϕ _3; thus delay controllers 9 have magnitude detection function and indirectly detecting the magnitude of r 1 and to the amplitude-modulation amplifier (figure 1, polar signal producer circuit 1) and an input signal to the phase-modulated signal producer (figure 1, polar signal producer circuit 1); a delay difference computer (figure 1, delay calculator 12), computing a delay difference between an amplitude signal and a phase signal based on the signal corresponding to the amplitude and the signal corresponding to the phase (r 4 and 1), and the amplitude signal and the phase signal (figure 1, r 1 and \$\phi\$ 1), which are detected by the amplitude/phase detector (delay controllers 9); and a timing adjustor

(figure 1, adjusters 14 and 16), adjusting timings of the amplitude signal and the phase signal based on the delay difference computed by the delay difference computer.

Page 11

Persson as modified by McCune et al. discloses wherein the amplitude/phase detector (figure 1, delay controllers 9 capable of processing I and Q digital signals) is constructed of a digital circuit; delay controllers 9 operate to minimize the magnitude of the difference between r 4 and r 3, and the difference between ϕ 4 and ϕ 3. These teachings clearly differ from the claimed invention; therefore, claim 15 of the present application is considered novel and non-obvious over the prior art and, consequently, is allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed

to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RuiMeng Hu whose telephone number is 571-270-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,999

Art Unit: 2618

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RuiMeng Hu R.H./rh October 26, 2007

EDWARD F. URBAN.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 13